

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat int and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS.

Washington, D.C. 20231

					<i>E 0 1</i>
L	APPLICATION NO. FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
				7.	

08/899,410

07/23/97

GALLOWAY

EXAMINER

IM22/0903

DYE,R

MARGATET M. DUNCAN MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 227 WEST MONROE STREET CHICAGO IL 60606 ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

1772

 \supset

DATE MAILED:

09/03/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application reproceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	_ 1	Applicant(s)	, , 1	
Office Action Summary	-818(1,1	10	Gallowa		
	Examiner R 10 Y 6	e	Group Art Un	fit ├─	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sh	eet be	neath the correspondenc	e address	
Peri d for Response	`	_			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE	3	MONTH(S) FROM TH	HE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defending to respond within the set or extended period for response will 	s, a response within the stault, expire SIX (6) MO	statutory	y minimum of thirty (30) days will from the mailing date of this com	I be considered timely.	
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	79				
This action is FINAL.	,			-	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	t for formal matters, 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G	prose 3. 213.	cution as to the merits is	closed in	
Disposition of Claims					
Claim(s) 1-2, 4-13, 15, 16 and	2/		is/are pending in the	application.	
Of the above claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)			is/are allowed.	r consideration.	
Claim(s) 1-2,4-13,15,14 and 21			is/are rejected.		
/ ☐ Claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)				ion or election	
Application Papers			requirement.	·	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	g Review, PTO-948	.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approv	ved [disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	.1				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		•		5 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority upon All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of					
received.				· 3, · · · · · · · · ·	
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the Inter	,	PCT Ru	lle 1 7.2(a)).	. •	
*Certified copies not received:			•		
Attachment(s)	1				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s). 19-20	□Inte	erview Summary, PTO-413		
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	•	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	18	□ Other			
Offic	Acti n Summanı				

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

*U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62710 Part of Paper No. 27

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 21 is indefinite because it depends from canceled claim 17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 & 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1,2,11,12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by WO 95/00333.

WO '333 teaches a multilayer structure of at least three layers wherein the core layer is a barrier layer. In one embodiment there may be a first and second outer layer of an ethylene or propylene polymer or copolymer formed by a polymerization reaction in the presence a single cell catalyst and a barrier layer (second para.). The core barrier layer 14 may be made of ethylene

Art Unit: 1772

vinyl alcohol (second para.). After the film is formed it may be subjected to electron beam irradiation (page 17, lines last para.). WO '333 teaches bags or packages made from the multiple layer film.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-10,15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 95/00333.

WO '333 has been previously discussed and fails to specifically teach the recited thicknesses. Since WO '333 teaches a multilayer film for packaging, as that disclosed in Applicant's patent application, the recited thicknesses would have been well within the purview of one having ordinary skill in the art. Furthermore, the recited thicknesses would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art based upon the desired degree of barrier property, strength and flexibility.

6. Claims 1,2,11,12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Georgelos (US 5,397,613).

Application/Control Number: 08/899,410

Art Unit: 1772

Georgelos teaches a multilayer structure of at least three layers wherein the core layer is a barrier layer. The ethylene alpha olefin resins used to manufacture the films may be prepared by a homogeneous metallocene single-site catalyst system (column 4, lines 5-28; Example 5). The core barrier layer may be made of a hydrolyzed ethylene vinyl acetate (ethylene vinyl alcohol) (Column 25). After the film is formed it may be subjected to electron beam irradiation (column 26). Georgelos teaches bags or packages made from the multiple layer film (column 1). The overall thickness of the films may be about 2 mils. The heat sealable outer layer thickness was about 1.2 mils and the abuse resistant outer layer was about 0.6 mils thick (Example 5).

7. Claims 4-10,15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Georgelos (US 5,397,613).

Georgelos has been previously discussed and fails to specifically teach the recited thicknesses. Since Georgelos teaches a multilayer film for packaging, as that disclosed in Applicant's patent application, the recited thicknesses would have been well within the purview of one having ordinary skill in the art. Furthermore, the recited thicknesses would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art based upon the desired degree of barrier property, strength and flexibility.

Art Unit: 1772

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. Dye whose telephone number is (703) 308-4331.

Rena L. Dye Primary Examiner Tech Center 1700

R. Dye September 1, 1999